American Journal of Computational Mathematics, 2012, 2, 82-87
doi:10.4236/ajcm.2012.22011 Published Online June 2012 (http://www.SciRP.org/journal/ajcm)

Nonstationary Wavelets Related to the Walsh Functions

Yuri A. Farkov, Evgeny A. Rodionov
Department of Mathematics, Russian State Geological Prospecting University, Moscow, Russia
Email: farkov@list.ru

Received March 29, 2012; revised April 25, 2012; accepted May 2, 2012

ABSTRACT

Using the Walsh-Fourier transform, we give a construction of compactly supported nonstationary dyadic wavelets on the positive half-line. The masks of these wavelets are the Walsh polynomials defined by finite sets of parameters. Application to compression of fractal functions are also discussed.

Keywords: Walsh Functions; Nonstationary Dyadic Wavelets; Fractal Functions; Adapted Multiresolution Analysis

1. Introduction

As usual, let $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$ be the positive half-line, $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$ be the set of all nonnegative integers, and let $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \cdots\}$ be the set of all positive integers. The first examples of orthogonal wavelets on $\mathbb{R}_+$ related to the Walsh functions and the corresponding wavelets on the Cantor dyadic group have been constructed in [1]; then, in [2] and [3], a multifractal structure of this wavelets is observed and conditions for wavelets to generate an unconditional basis in L^q-spaces for all $1 < q < \infty$ have been found. These investigations are continued in [4-10] where among other subjects the algorithms to construct orthogonal and biorthogonal wavelets associated with the generalized Walsh functions are studied. In the present paper, using the Walsh-Fourier transform, we construct nonstationary dyadic wavelets on $\mathbb{R}_+$ (cf. [11-13], [14, Ch.8]).

Let us denote by $[x]$ the integer part of x. For every $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we set

$$x_j = \left[2^j x\right](\mathrm{mod}\, 2), x_{-j} = \left[2^{1-j} x\right](\mathrm{mod}\, 2),\ j \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $x_j, x_{-j} \in \{0, 1\}$. Then

$$x = \sum_{j<0} x_j 2^{-j-1} + \sum_{j>0} x_j 2^{-j}.$$

The dyadic addition on $\mathbb{R}_+$ is defined as follows

$$x \oplus y = \sum_{j<0} \left|x_j - y_j\right| 2^{-j-1} + \sum_{j<0} \left|x_j - y_j\right| 2^{-j}.$$

Further, we introduce the notations

$$\chi(x, \omega) = (-1)^{\sigma(x,\omega)}, \sigma(x, \omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j \omega_{-j} + x_{-j} \omega_j,$$

where $x, \omega \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then the Walsh function w_k of order k is $w_k(x) = \chi(x, k)$ (see, e.g., [15]).

The Walsh-Fourier transform of every function f that belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is defined by

$$\hat{f}(\omega) = \int_0^{\infty} f(x) \chi(x, \omega) \mathrm{d}x,\quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

and extent to the whole space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ in a standard way. The intervals

$$\Delta_k^{(n)} = \left[k 2^{-n}, (k+1) 2^{-n}\right),\ k \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

are called the *dyadic intervals of range* n. The dyadic topology on $\mathbb{R}_+$ is generated by the collection of dyadic intervals. A subset E of $\mathbb{R}_+$ which is compact in the dyadic topology will be called *W-compact*.

For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we define φ_j and ψ_j by the following algorithm:

Step 1. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ choose $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$, and $b_k^{(j)} \in \mathbb{C}$, $k = 0, 1 \cdots, 2^{n_j} - 1$, such that

$$b_0^{(j)} = 1, \left|b_k^{(j)}\right|^2 + \left|b_{k+2^{n_j-1}}^{(j)}\right|^2 = 1 \tag{1}$$

for all $k = 0, 1, \cdots, 2^{n_j - 1} - 1$.

Step 2. Define the masks

$$m_0^{(j)}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n_j}-1} c_k^{(j)} w_k(\omega) \tag{2}$$

with the coefficients

$$c_k^{(j)} = \frac{1}{2^{n_j - 1}} \sum_{l=0}^{2^{n_j}-1} b_l^{(j)} w_l\left(2^{-n_j} k\right),\ k = 0, 1, \cdots, 2^{n_j} - 1,$$

so that $m_0^{(j)}(\omega) = b_l^{(j)}$ for all $\omega \in \Delta_l^{(j)}$ (cf. [15, Sect. 9.7]).

Step 3. For each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ put

$$\hat{\varphi}_j(\omega) = 2^{-j/2} \prod_{l=j+1}^{\infty} m_0^{(l)}\left(2^{-l} \omega\right), \tag{3}$$

so that

$$\varphi_j(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{k=0}^{2^{n_{j+1}}-1}c_k^{(j+1)}\varphi_{j+1}\left(x\oplus 2^{-j-1}k\right). \qquad (4)$$

Step 4. Define ψ_j by the formula

$$\psi_j(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{k=0}^{2^{n_{j+1}}-1}(-1)^{k+1}c_{k\oplus 1}^{(j+1)}\varphi_{j+1}\left(x\oplus\frac{k}{2^{j+1}}\right). \qquad (5)$$

Further, let us define subspaces $\{V_j\}$ and $\{W_j\}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ as follows

$$V_j=\overline{\operatorname{span}\{\varphi_{j,k}:k\in\mathbb{Z}_+\}},$$

$$W_j=\overline{\operatorname{span}\{\psi_{j,k}:k\in\mathbb{Z}_+\}}$$

for all $j\in\mathbb{Z}_+$.

We say that a polynomial m satisfies the *modified Cohen condition* if there exists a *W*-compact subset E of $\mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$\operatorname{int}E\ni 0,\mu(E)=1,E\equiv[0,1)(\operatorname{mod}\mathbb{Z}_+)$$

and

$$\inf_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\inf_{\omega\in E}\left|m\left(2^{-j}\omega\right)\right|>0. \qquad (6)$$

Theorem. Suppose that the masks $m_0^{(n)}$ satisfy the modified Cohen condition with a subset E and there exists $j_0\in\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$m_0^{(n)}(\omega)=1 \quad \text{for all} \quad \omega\in\left[0,2^{-j_0}\right),\ n\in\mathbb{N}. \qquad (7)$$

Then for any $j\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ the following properties hold:

a) $\varphi_j,\psi_j\in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\operatorname{supp}\varphi_j\subset[0,1]$;

b) $\{\varphi_{j,k}:k\in\mathbb{Z}_+\}$ and $\{\psi_{j,k}:k\in\mathbb{Z}_+\}$ are orthonormal basis in V_j and W_j, respectively;

c) $V_j\subset V_{j+1}$, $V_j\oplus W_j=V_{j+1}$.

Moreover, we have

$$\overline{\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty}V_j}=L^2(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

Corollary. The system

$$\{\varphi_0(\cdot\oplus k):k\in\mathbb{Z}_+\}\cup\{\psi_{j,k}:\ j,k\in\mathbb{Z}_+\}$$

is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

We prove this theorem in the next section. Then using the notion of an adapted multiresolution analysis suggested by Sendov [12], we discuss an application of the nonstationary dyadic wavelets to compression of the Weierstrass function and the Swartz function.

2. Proof of the Theorem

At first we prove the orthonormality of $\{\varphi_{j,k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$. In view of

$$\langle\varphi_{j,0},\varphi_{j,n}\rangle=\langle\hat\varphi_{j,0},\hat\varphi_{j,n}\rangle=\int_0^\infty\left|\hat\varphi_j(\omega)\right|^2 w_n\left(2^{-j}\omega\right)\mathrm{d}\omega,$$

let us show that

$$\int_0^\infty\left|\varphi_j(\omega)\right|^2 w_n\left(2^{-j}\omega\right)\mathrm{d}\omega=\delta_{0,n},\quad n\in\mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Denote by $\mathbf{1}_E$ the characteristic function of E. For each j we define

$$\hat\varphi_j^{(s)}(\omega)=2^{-j/2}\prod_{l=j+1}^{s}m_0^{(l)}\left(2^{-l}\omega\right)\mathbf{1}_E\left(2^{-s}\omega\right)$$

for $s=j+1,j+2,\cdots$ Since $0\in\operatorname{int}E$ and, for all $j\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, $m_0^{(j)}(\omega)=1$ in some neighbourhood of zero, we obtain from Equation (3)

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\hat\varphi_j^{(k)}(\omega)=\hat\varphi_j(\omega) \quad \text{for all} \quad \omega\in\mathbb{R}_+. \qquad (8)$$

Let

$$I_j^{(k)}[n]:=\int_0^\infty\left|\hat\varphi_j^{(k)}(\omega)\right|^2 w_n\left(2^{-j}\omega\right)\mathrm{d}\omega,$$

where $k>j$, $n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$. Letting $\zeta=2^{-s}\omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}I_j^{(s)}[k]&=2^{s-j}\int_E\prod_{l=j+1}^{s}\left|m_0^{(l)}\left(2^{s-l}\zeta\right)\right|^2 w_k\left(2^{s-j}\zeta\right)\mathrm{d}\zeta\\&=2^{s-j}\int_0^1\left|m_0^{(k)}(\zeta)\right|^2\prod_{l=j+1}^{s-1}\left|m_0^{(l)}\left(2^{s-l}\zeta\right)\right|^2 w_k\left(2^{s-j}\right)\mathrm{d}\zeta\\&=2^{s-j}\int_0^{1/2}\left(\left|m_0^{(k)}(\zeta)\right|^2+\left|m_0^{(k)}(\zeta+1/2)\right|^2\right)\\&\times\prod_{l=j+1}^{s-1}\left|m_0^{(l)}\left(2^{s-l}\zeta\right)\right|^2 w_k\left(2^{s-j}\zeta\right)\mathrm{d}\zeta,\end{aligned}$$

that yields $I_j^{(s)}[k]=I_j^{(s-1)}[k]$. By induction, we obtain

$$I_j^{(s)}[k]=I_j^{(s-1)}[k]=\cdots=I_j^{(j+1)}[k]=\delta_{0,k}.$$

According to Equation (8), by Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\int_0^\infty\left|\hat\varphi_j(\omega)\right|^2\mathrm{d}\omega\le\lim_{s\to\infty}\int_0^\infty\left|\hat\varphi_j^{(s)}(\omega)\right|^2\mathrm{d}\omega=\lim_{s\to\infty}I_j^{(s)}[0]=1. \qquad (9)$$

Consequently, $\varphi_j\in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and, in view of Equation (5), $\psi_j\in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. It is known that if $\hat f\in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is constant on dyadic intervals of range n, then $\operatorname{supp}f\subset\left[0,2^n\right]$ (see [16, Sect. 6.2]). Therefore, each function $\hat\varphi_j$ is constant on $[k,k+1)$, $k\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, which implies $\operatorname{supp}\varphi_j\subset[0,1]$.

In view of Equation (7), there exists $j_0\in\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$m_0^{(j)}\left(2^{-j}\omega\right)=1 \quad \text{for all} \quad j>j_0,\ \omega\in E.$$

Hence, for $\omega\in E$,

$$\hat\varphi_j(\omega)=2^{-j/2}\prod_{l=j+1}^{j_0}m_0^{(l)}\left(2^{-l}\omega\right).$$

It follows from Equation (6) that for some $c_1>0$

$$\left|m_0^{(j)}\left(2^{-j}\omega\right)\right| \ge c_1 \quad \text{for} \quad j \in \mathbb{N},\ \omega \in E .$$

Since

$$c_1^{j-j_0}\left|\hat{\varphi}_j(\omega)\right| \ge 2^{-j/2}\mathbf{1}_E(\omega),\quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}_+ .$$

We have

$$\left|\hat{\varphi}_j^{(s)}(\omega)\right| \le c_1^{j-j_0}\prod_{l=j+1}^{s}\left|m_0^{(l)}\left(2^{-l}\omega\right)\right|\left|\hat{\varphi}_j\left(2^{-s}\omega\right)\right|.$$

or, taking into account Equation (3),

$$\left|\hat{\varphi}_j^{(s)}(\omega)\right| \le c_1^{j-j_0}\left|\hat{\varphi}_j(\omega)\right|,\quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

for $s > j$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Applying the dominated convergence theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\infty \left|\hat{\varphi}_j(\omega)\right|^2 w_k\left(2^{-j}\omega\right)\mathrm{d}\omega\\ &= \lim_{s\to\infty}\int_0^\infty \left|\hat{\varphi}_j^{(s)}(\omega)\right|^2 w_k\left(2^{-j}\omega\right)\mathrm{d}\omega\\ &= \delta_{0,k},\end{aligned}$$

which means that $\left\{\varphi_{j,k}\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ is an orthonormal system.

Now, let us prove an orthonormality of $\left\{\psi_{j,k}\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$.

For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ denote $\mathrm{d}_k^{(j)} = (-1)^{k+1} c_{k\oplus 1}^{(j)}$. Then

$$_{j,k}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\mathrm{d}_{l\oplus 2k}^{(j+1)}\varphi_{j+1,l}(x). \tag{10}$$

Since

$$\psi\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\mathrm{d}_l^{(j)}\mathrm{d}_{l\oplus 2k}^{(j)} = 2\delta_{0,k},$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle\psi_{j,k},\psi_{j,k'}\right\rangle &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{l,s\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\mathrm{d}_{l\oplus 2k}^{(j+1)}\mathrm{d}_{s\oplus 2k'}^{(j+1)}\left\langle\varphi_{j+1,l},\varphi_{j+1,s}\right\rangle\\ &= \delta_{k,k'}.\end{aligned}$$

Then from Equation (10)

$$V_j \subset V_{j+1},\quad W_j \subset V_{j+1}. \tag{11}$$

Let us define

$$m_1^{(j)}(\omega) := \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=0}^{2^{n_j}-1}\mathrm{d}_k^{(j)} w_k(\omega).$$

Denote $\omega' = 2^{-j-1}\omega$. Under the unitarity of the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_0^{(j)}(\omega') & m_0^{(j)}(\omega'+1/2)\\ m_1^{(j)}(\omega') & m_1^{(j)}(\omega'+1/2)\end{pmatrix},$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\varphi}_{j+1}(\omega) &= \hat{\varphi}_{j+1}(\omega)\\ &\times\Big\{\Big[\left|m_0^{(j+1)}(\omega')\right|^2 + \left|m_1^{(j+1)}(\omega')\right|^2\Big]\\ &+\Big[m_0^{(j+1)}(\omega')\overline{m_0^{(j+1)}(\omega'+1/2)}\\ &+m_1^{(j+1)}(\omega')\overline{m_1^{(j+1)}(\omega'+1/2)}\Big]\Big\}\\ &= \Big[\overline{m_0^{(j+1)}(\omega')} + \overline{m_0^{(j+1)}(\omega'+1/2)}\Big]\\ &\times m_0^{(j+1)}(\omega')\hat{\varphi}_{j+1}(\omega)\\ &+\Big[\overline{m_1^{(j+1)}(\omega')} + \overline{m_1^{(j+1)}(\omega'+1/2)}\Big]\\ &\times m_1^{(j+1)}(\omega')\hat{\varphi}_{j+1}(\omega)\\ &= \sqrt{2}\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\overline{c}_{2l}^{(j+1)} w_{2l}\left(2^{-j-1}\omega\right)\hat{\varphi}_j(\omega)\\ &+\sqrt{2}\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\overline{d}_{2l}^{(j+1)} w_{2l}\left(2^{-j-1}\omega\right)\hat{\psi}_j(\omega).\end{aligned}$$

Using the inverse Fourier-Walsh transform, we have

$$\varphi_{j+1}(x) = \sqrt{2}\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\left(\overline{c}_{2l}^{(j+1)}\varphi_{j,l}(x) + \overline{\mathrm{d}}_{2l}^{(j+1)}\psi_{j,l}(x)\right)$$

or,

$$\varphi_{j+1,k}(x) = \sqrt{2}\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\left(\overline{c}_{k\oplus 2l}^{(j+1)}\varphi_{j,l}(x) + \overline{\mathrm{d}}_{k\oplus 2l}^{(j+1)}\psi_{j,l}(x)\right).$$

With Equation (11) it yields $V_j \oplus W_j = V_{j+1}$

To conclude the proof it remains to show that

$$\overline{\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} V_j} = L_2(\mathbb{R}_+). \tag{12}$$

Note, that by Equation (7) for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R}_+$ there exist $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\hat{\varphi}_j(\omega) = 2^{-j/2}$ and, consequently,

$$\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty}\operatorname{supp}\hat{\varphi}_j = \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{13}$$

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ the subspace $\overline{\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} V_j}$ is invariant with respect to the shift $f(\cdot) \mapsto f(\cdot \oplus x)$. Actually, an arbitrary $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ can be approximated by fractions $2^{-j}l$, with arbitrary large j. Besides, each V_j is invariant with respect to the shifts $2^{-j}l$. By Equation (4) it is clear that $V_j \subset V_{j+1}$.

Let $f \in \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} V_j$. There exist j_1 such that $f \in V_{j_1}$ and hence $f\left(\cdot \oplus 2^{-j}l\right) \in V_j$ for all $j \ge j_1$. The continuity of $\left\|f(\cdot \oplus x)\right\|$ implies that $f(\cdot \oplus x) \in \overline{\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} V_j}$. If $g \in \overline{\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} V_j}$, then approximating g with f from $\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} V_j$ and using the invariance of a norm with respect to the shift, we obtain $g(\cdot \oplus x) \in \overline{\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} V_j}$.

Denote by $\left(\overline{\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty}V_j}\right)^{\wedge}$ the set of all $\hat{f}$ such that $f\in\overline{\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty}V_j}$. By the Weiner's theorem we can write $\left(\overline{\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty}V_j}\right)^{\wedge}=L_2(\Omega)$, for some measurable $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}_+$. It is clearly that $\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty}\operatorname{supp}\hat{\varphi}_j\subset\Omega$ and, in view of Equation (13), we have $\Omega=\mathbb{R}_+$. Hence, the Equation (12) holds. The theorem is proved.

3. Numerical Experiments

For any $N\in\mathbb{N}$, let $\Delta_j(N):=\left[0,(2N-1)2^{-j}\right]$, $j\in\mathbb{Z}_+$. According to [12] an adapted multiresolution analysis (AMRA) of rank N in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a collection of closed subspaces $V_j\subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $j\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, which satisfies the following conditions:

1) $V_j\subset V_{j+1}$ for all $j\in\mathbb{Z}_+$;

2) $\overline{\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty}V_j}=L^2(\mathbb{R})$;

3) For every $j\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ there is a function φ_j in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with a finite support $\Delta_j(N)$ such that $\left\{\varphi_j\left(\cdot-k2^{-j}\right):k\in\mathbb{Z}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of V_j;

4) For every $j\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ there exists a filter

$$\mathbf{c}(j)=\{c_k(j)\}_{k=0}^{2N-1}$$

such that

$$\varphi_{j-1}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{2N-1}c_k(j)\varphi_j\left(x-k2^{-j}\right),\quad j\in\mathbb{N}. \tag{14}$$

The sequence $\{\varphi_j\}$ from condition (4) is called a scaling sequence for given an AMRA. The corresponding a wavelet sequence $\{\psi_j\}$ can be defined by

$$\psi_{j-1}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{2N-1}(-1)^k c_{2N-k-1}(j)\varphi_j\left(x-k2^{-j}\right). \tag{15}$$

Denote by W_j the orthogonal complement of V_{j-1} in V_j. It is known that, under some conditions, the system $\left\{\psi_j\left(\cdot-k2^{-j}\right):k\in\mathbb{Z}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of W_j (for more details, see, e.g., [14, Sect. 8.1]). Moreover, if f_A denotes the projection of a function $f\in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ on the subset $A\subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\|f\|^2=\left\|f_{V_0}\right\|^2+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left\|f_{W_j}\right\|^2$$

and

$$\left\|f_{V_j}\right\|^2=\left\|f_{V_{j-1}}\right\|^2+\left\|f_{W_{j-1}}\right\|^2. \tag{16}$$

Let us denote

$$h_k(j)=c_k(j)/\sqrt{2}$$

and

$$g_k(j)=(-1)^k h_{1-k}(j).$$

For a given array

$$\mathbf{A}(j)=\left\{a_{j,0},a_{j,1}\cdots,a_{j,2^j-1}\right\},$$

the direct non-stationary discrete wavelet transform

$$a_{j-1,k}=\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}h_{l-2k}(j)a_{j,l},\ d_{j-1,k}=\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}g_{l-2k}(j)a_{j,l},$$

maps it into

$$\mathbf{A}(j-1)=\left\{a_{j-1,0},a_{j-1,1}\cdots,a_{j-1,2^{j-1}-1}\right\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{D}(j-1)=\left\{a_{j-1,0},a_{j-1,1}\cdots,a_{j-1,2^{j-1}-1}\right\}.$$

The inverse transform is defined as follows

$$a_{j,l}=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}h_{l-2k}(j)a_{j-1,l}+g_{l-2k}(j)d_{j-1,l}$$

and reconstructs $\mathbf{A}(j)$ by $\mathbf{A}(j-1)$ and $\mathbf{D}(j-1)$. According to [12] in order to choose the filter $\mathbf{c}(j)$ to maximize $\left\|f_{V_{j-1}}\right\|^2$ in Equation (16), we must solve the following problem.

Problem 1. Let $U_N^{(1)}$ be the subset of the 2*N*-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$, which consists of the points $u=(u_0,u_1,\cdots,u_{2N-1})$ satisfying the conditions

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2N-1}u_k^2=1,\ \sum_{k=0}^{2N-l-1}u_k u_{2l+k}=0. \tag{17}$$

for $l=0,1\cdots,N-1$. Find a point u^* for which

$$\sum_{m,k=0}^{2N-1}u_m^* u_k^* F_{m,k}=\sup_{u\in U_N^{(1)}}\left\{\sum_{m,k=0}^{2N-1}u_m u_k F_{m,k}\right\}, \tag{18}$$

where $\left\|F_{m,k}\right\|$ is a $2N\times 2N$ symmetric matrix.

Problem 1 has a solution since U_N is a compact. But, as noted in [12], the numerical solution of this problem is not trivial even for $N=2$.

Concerning the standard Haar and Daubechies (with 4 coefficients) discrete transforms see, e.g., [17]; we will denote them as SWTH and SWTD, respectively. We write NSWTH for the simplest case of a multiresolution analysis of rank 1 which is considered in [12, Sect. 3] (see also [13]). The nonstationary Daubechies discrete wavelet transform which corresponds an AMRA of rank N are defined in [12] and we will use the symbol NSWTDN to denote this transform (see NSWTD1 and NSWTD2 in the tables below).

Method A associated with one of the mentioned above discrete wavelet transforms (cf. [17, Chap.7]) consists of the following steps:

Step 1. Apply the discrete wavelet transform j times to an input array $\mathbf{A}(j)$ and get the sequence

$$\mathbf{A}(0),\mathbf{D}(0),\mathbf{D}(1),\cdots,\mathbf{D}(j-1).$$

Step 2. Allocate a certain percentage of the wavelet coefficients with lagest absolute value (we choose 10%) and nullify the remaining coefficients.

Step 3. Apply the inverse wavelet transform to the modified arrays of the wavelet coefficients.

Step 4. Calculate $\left\|\mathbf{A}(j)-\tilde{\mathbf{A}}(j)\right\|_2$, where $\mathbf{A}(j)$ is a reconstructed array.

In *Method B* the second step is replaced on the uniform quantization and the forth step is replaced on the calculation of the entropy of a vector, obtained in the third step.

We recall that $\mathbf{y}=\{y_1,\cdots,y_m\}$ is a *vector uniform quantization* for given vector $\mathbf{x}=(x_1,\cdots,x_m)$, if

$$y_j=\begin{cases}0, & |x_j|<\Delta,\\ \Delta\left[\dfrac{x_j}{\Delta}\right]+\operatorname{sign}(x_j)\dfrac{\Delta}{2}, & |x_j|\geq\Delta,\end{cases}$$

where Δ is the length of the quantization interval.

The value Δ will be calculated by

$$\Delta=\left(\max_{1\leq j\leq m}x_j-\min_{1\leq j\leq m}x_j\right)\Big/50.$$

The Shannon entropy of $\mathbf{x}$ is defined by the formula

$$H(\mathbf{x})=-\sum_{j=1}^{m}p_j\log_2(p_j),$$

where p_j is frequency of the value x_j.

Let us consider a similar approach associated with the following problem:

Problem 2. Let $N=2^{n-1}$. Denote by $U_N^{(2)}$ the set of all points $u=(u_0,u_1,\cdots,u_{2N-1})\in\mathbb{R}^{2N}$ such that

$$(u_l)^2+(u_{l+N})^2=1,l=0,1,\cdots,N-1.$$

For every $u\in U_N^{(2)}$ we define

$$c_k(u)=\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=0}^{2N-1}u_jw_j\left(k/(2N)\right)$$

for $k=0,1,\cdots,2N-1$. Find a point u^* for which

$$\sum_{m,k=0}^{2N-1}c_m(u^*)c_k(u^*)F_{m,k}=\sup_{u\in U_N^{(2)}}\left\{\sum_{m,k=0}^{2N-1}c_m(u)c_k(u)F_{m,k}\right\},\qquad(19)$$

where $\|F_{m,k}\|$ is a $2N\times 2N$ symmetric matrix.

Given an array $\mathbf{A}(j)=\{a_{j,0},a_{j,1}\cdots,a_{j,2^j-1}\}$, we define the matrix $\|F_{m,k}\|$ in Problem 1 and Problem 2 by

$$F_{m,k}=\sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}}a_{j,2s+m}a_{j,2s+m}$$

and

$$F_{m,k}=\sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}_+}a_{j,2s\oplus m}a_{j,2s\oplus m},$$

respectively. Here $a_{j,s}=0$ for $s\notin\{0,1,\cdots,2^j-1\}$. Suppose that u^* is a solution of Equation (19). Then the direct and inverse nonstationary discrete dyadic wavelet transforms are defined by

$$a_{j-1,k}=\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}_+}h_{l\oplus 2k}^{(j)}a_{j,l},\quad d_{j-1,k}=\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}_+}g_{l\oplus 2k}^{(j)}a_{j,l},$$

$$a_{j,l}=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}h_{l\oplus 2k}^{(j)}a_{j-1,l}+g_{l\oplus 2k}^{(j)}d_{j-1,l},$$

where $h_k^{(j)}=c_k(u^*)\big/\sqrt{2}$ and $g_k^{(j)}=(-1)^k h_{1\oplus k}^{(j)}$. We

Table 1. Values of the square error corresponding to Method A.

	SWTH	NSWTH	NSWTL1	SWTD	NSWTD1	NSWTD2	NSWTL2
$\mathcal{S}$	0.166547	0.123983	0.123980	0.248311	0.167071	0.128120	0.122886
$\mathcal{W}_{0.9,3}$	15.823238	14.802541	14.802635	14.290849	14.807025	14.275246	14.022471
$\mathcal{W}_{0.9,5}$	16.813738	15.932313	15.932307	15.378600	15.171461	14.782221	15.130797
$\mathcal{W}_{0.9,7}$	15.887306	13.631379	13.631383	15.595433	16.649683	12.724437	12.674001

Table 2. Values of the entropy obtained by Method B.

	SWTH	NSWTH	NSWTL1	SWTD	NSWTD1	NSWTD2	NSWTL2
$\mathcal{S}$	0.320865	0.327626	0.310639	0.863949	0.299818	0.304681	0.241210
$\mathcal{W}_{0.9,3}$	4.486757	3.810555	3.772764	4.152313	3.822598	3.525294	3.466450
$\mathcal{W}_{0.9,5}$	4.688737	3.874187	3.848227	4.224801	4.106692	3.766994	3.700762
$\mathcal{W}_{0.9,7}$	4.392570	3.371864	3.344916	4.001358	4.435942	3.232151	3.197167

denote these discrete transforms as NSWTL1 if $N = 1$ and as NSWTL2 if $N = 2$.

Let us recall that the Weierstrass function is defined as

$$\mathcal{W}_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha^n \cos(\beta^n \pi x),\ 0 < \alpha < 1,\ \beta \ge \frac{1}{\alpha},$$

and the Swartz function is defined as

$$\mathcal{S}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h(2^n x)}{4^n},$$

where $h(x) = [x] - \sqrt{x - [x]}$. We will consider arrays **A**(8) with elements $a_{8,k} = \mathcal{W}_{\alpha,\beta}(k/128)$ or $a_{8,k} = \mathcal{S}(k/256)$, $k = 0,\cdots,255$. Then we use the Matlab function fminsearch to solve the optimization problems in Equations (18) and (19). The results of these numerical experiments are presented in **Tables 1** and **2**. We see that in several cases the introduced nonstationary dyadic wavelets have an advantage over the classical Haar and Daubechies wavelets.

REFERENCES

[1] W. C. Lang, "Orthogonal Wavelets on the Cantor Dyadic Group," *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, Vol. 27, No. 1, 1996, pp. 305-312. doi:10.1137/S0036141093248049

[2] W. C. Lang, "Wavelet Analysis on the Cantor Dyadic Group," *Houston Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 24, No. 3, 1998, pp. 533-544.

[3] W. C. Lang, "Fractal Multiwavelets Related to the Cantor Dyadic Group," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 21, No. 2, 1998, pp. 307-317. doi:10.1155/S0161171298000428

[4] Y. A. Farkov, "Orthogonal Wavelets with Compact Support on Locally Compact Abelian Groups," *Izvestiya*: *Mathematics*, Vol. 69, No. 3, 2005, pp. 623-650. doi:10.1070/IM2005v069n03ABEH000540

[5] Y. A. Farkov, "Wavelets and Frames in Walsh Analysis," In: M. del Valle, Ed., *Wavelets*: *Classification*, *Theory and Applications*, *Chapter* 11, Nova Science Publishers, New York, 2012, pp. 267-304.

[6] Y. A. Farkov and E. A. Rodionov, "Estimates of the Smoothness of Dyadic Orthogonal Wavelets of Daubechies Type," *Mathematical Notes*, Vol. 82, No. 6, 2007, pp. 407-421. doi:10.1134/S0001434609090144

[7] Y. A. Farkov, A. Yu. Maksimov and S. A. Stroganov, "On Biorthogonal Wavelets Related to the Walsh Functions," *International Journal of Wavelets*, *Multiresolution and Information Processing*, Vol. 9, No. 3, 2011, pp. 485-499. doi:10.1142/S0219691311004195

[8] Y. A. Farkov and E. A. Rodionov, "Algorithms for Wavelet Construction on Vilenkin Groups," *P-Adic Numbers*, *Ultrametric Analysis*, *and Applications*, Vol. 3, No. 3, 2011, pp. 181-195. doi:10.1134/S2070046611030022

[9] Y. A. Farkov, "Periodic Wavelets on the *p*-Adic Vilenkin Group," *P-Adic Numbers*, *Ultrametric Analysis*, *and Applications*, Vol. 3, No. 4, 2011, pp. 281-287. doi:10.1134/S2070046611040030

[10] Y. A. Farkov and M. E. Borisov, "Periodic Dyadic Wavelets and Coding of Fractal Functions," *Russian Mathematics* (*Izvestiya VUZ. Matematika*), No. 9, 2012, pp. 54-65.

[11] I. Y. Novikov, "On the Construction of Nonstationary Orthonormal Infinitely Differentiable Compactly Supported Wavelets," *Proceedings of the* 12*th International Association for Pattern Recognition*, Jerusalem, 9-13 October 1994, pp. 214-215. doi:10.1109/ICPR.1994.577164

[12] B. Sendov, "Adapted Multiresolution Analysis," *Proceedings of Alexits Memorial Conference Functions*, *Series*, *Operators*, Budapest, 9-14 August 1999, pp. 23-38.

[13] B. Sendov, "Adaptive Multiresolution Analysis on the Dyadic Topological Group," *Journal of Approximation Theory*, Vol. 96, No. 2, 1998, pp. 21-45. doi:10.1006/jath.1998.3234

[14] I. Y. Novikov, V. Y. Protasov and M. A. Skopina, "Wavelet Theory," American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2011.

[15] F. Schipp, W. R. Wade and P. Simon, "Walsh Series: An Introduction to Dyadic Harmonic Analysis," Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1990.

[16] B. I. Golubov, A. V. Efimov and V. A. Skvortsov, "Walsh Series and Transforms," Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1991.

[17] S. Welstead, "Fractal and Wavelet Image Compression Techniques," SPIE Optical Engineering Press, Bellingham, 2002.

